REMARKS

Responsive to the election of species requirement mailed April 20, 2004. Applicant elects the Species A indicated by the Examiner covering FIGS. 1-4.

While the Examiner has indicated that currently no claim is generic, Applicant disagrees with that statement since there is a single independent claim in this application, which in fact covers all four species generically. With regard to Species A, Applicant considers claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 to directly read on the Species A, (i.e.), FIGS. 1-4. Claim 6 reads on both Species B and C. In addition, newly presented claims 9 and 10 read on Species C and newly presented claim 8 reads on Species D.

Prompt examination of the claims directed to the elected Species A, namely claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7, is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

McCracken & Frank LLP 200 W. Adams Suite 2150 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 263-4700

May 4, 2004

J. William Frank III, Esq.

Reg. No: 25,626